

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/726,713	SEIBEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Charles G. Freay	3746	

**All Participants:**

(1) Charles G. Freay.

(2) Michael Schmidt.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2006

**Time:** 10:00 am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection*

**Claims discussed:**

*100, 118, 137 and 157*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*USPN 6,419,457 Seibel et al*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner notedc that as amended there was potential double patenting rejection with respect to Seibel et al. The applicant agreed to file a terminal disclaimer to obviate this rejection*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)